United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/695,621		10/28/2003	Stefan Kiefer	13914-016001 / 3903 2003P00626	
32864	7590	10/17/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & RIC PO BOX 102		SON, P.C.		FADOK, MARK A	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3625	

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/695,621	KIEFER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Mark Fadok	3625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1	I					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 J	ulv 2006						
	s action is non-final.						
, <u> </u>							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	,						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-11 and 16-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12,13,15 and 21</u> is/are rejected.	· · · - · · - ·						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	_						
	r cicotion requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12/15/03(s/are: a)区 acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority document							
2. Certified copies of the priority document							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/6/2004. 	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office	o,						

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Election

The examiner is in receipt of applicant's response to election/Restriction mailed 6/23/2006, which was received 7/24/2006. Acknowledgement is made to the elation of Group IIA including claims 13,14,15 and 21 without traverse. Claims 1-12 and 16-20 are withdrawn from consideration.

Examiner's Note

Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers or figures in the references as applied to the claims below for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,621

Art Unit: 3625

Claims 13-15 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Davis et al (2006/0149653).

In regards to claim 13, Davis discloses a computer-implemented method of managing bid pricing information, comprising: receiving transaction information and item data from a buyer (FIG 19);

generating a bid invitation containing entries relating to the transaction information and item data (FIG 19), and

making the bid invitation available to a plurality of potential bidders (FIG 19, item 605);

receiving from one or more of the potential bidders bid invitations containing a complex pricing structure and corresponding complex pricing amounts (FIG 19A); and selecting a winning bidder based on the complex pricing amounts (FIG 19B).

In regards to claim 14, Davis teaches wherein a complex pricing structure from a first bidder is made available for review by other potential bidders (FIG 19B, item 627).

In regards to claim 15, Davis teaches wherein complex pricing amounts from a first bidder are made available for review by other potential bidders (FIG 19B, item 627).

In regards to claim 21, Davis teaches wherein the complex pricing structure comprises date-based pricing information (FIG 19B, item 614).

Art Unit: 3625

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Mark Fadok** whose telephone number is **571.272.6755**. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Friday 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Jeffrey A. Smith** can be reached on **571.272.6763**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Va. 22313-1450

or faxed to:

571-273-8300

[Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

For general questions the receptionist can be reached at

571.272.3600

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,621

Art Unit: 3625

Page 5

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Fadok

Primary Examiner